

Memorandum

To: Lt. Richard MacNeil
From: Capt. Morris Awakabe
Date: October 19, 1997
Re: Carlisle Investigation



At 20:04 Los Angeles Police were called to 6315 Primrose, Apartment 12 in Hollywood. A body was discovered at the scene, believed to be that of Lt. Michael Carlisle, Naval Intelligence Command - South Pacific. You are to undertake an Internal Affairs investigation regarding Lt. Carlisle immediately. Due to the suspicious circumstances surrounding the situation, NIC has requested assistance from the FBI.

I will expect a preliminary report of your findings on my desk by 17:00, Monday October 20.

Background

On July 17, 1996, Lt. Michael Carlisle of Naval Intelligence Command—Washington was assigned to Operation Deep Six (see attached). Over the past year, he filed few reports depicting little progress in intelligence regarding Operation Deep Six. We believe there is a strong possibility that his death may be linked to Ukranian counter-intelligence operatives working to obstruct Operation Deep Six.

United States Navy Naval Intelligence Command



Operation Deep Six

Operational Summary Report

October 19, 1994



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Ukrainian Navy had two submarines. On approximately June 24, 1994, US Naval Intelligence Command placed Ukrainian Submarine "Gdansk" on routine maneuvers in an unpopulated region of the South Pacific. At approximately sundown, all traces of Gdansk disappeared. All naval monitoring systems (radar, thermal, ultra-violet, radio, etc...) returned no response with respect to this ship. Gdansk was presumed sunk. Subsequent salvage operations performed jointly with the Ukrainian Navy in the last identified position of Gdansk failed to locate any wreckage.

The prevailing theory is that Gdansk suffered extreme mechanical failure and sunk to a depth greater than 800 fathoms. Unusual conditions on the ocean floor in the region prevented USS Arlene, a Navy salvage vessel from sonographically identifying the remains of the wreckage. Due to the extreme depth of the wreckage, potential recovery by third parties is unlikely.



Satellite Image, Kamchatka

Three additional points are of potential concern regarding the disappearance of Gdansk. The submarine was an atomic powered vessel

believed to be carrying armed nuclear warheads at the time of its disappearance. NIC is concerned that the weapons could have been "hijacked" or otherwise stolen by an unknown third party. Any transference of atomic weapons is a key national security risk to the United States.



**Gdansk after refuelling,
Indonesia**

Second, the Ukraine, in conjunction with Russia, has developed a highly effective "stealth" cloaking technology which allowed their vessel to completely elude all USNIC monitoring technologies. This would represent a potentially serious deficiency in our intelligence monitoring capabilities which is a

key national security risk to the United States.

The final concern is that Gdansk was sunk by a military maneuver which was executed by parties unknown. In the event any nation or private entity has developed offensive weapons capable of invisibly and instantly destroying an atomic submarine constitutes a key national security risk to the United States.

NIC hereby recommends a full and complete investigation into the causes of the disappearance of Gdansk. Investigators should be granted Security Level Six pursuant to Naval Operations Code 609(c)iv - §111-214. This investigation should be undertaken immediately.

BACKGROUND

Gdansk was manufactured in the Вгвфнум Ёршзнфквбї (Dudayev Shipyards, Kiev) and was christened Пвфпбїл ("Gdansk") on May 1, 1989. She is a class IV attack submarine, based on the Шцдшсрпфнф architectural design licensed from the Russian Navy in January 1989.

Gdansk is believed to have carried twenty four (24) 100 kiloton atomic warheads. Although the missile count cannot be confirmed based on current intelligence, it is assumed that Gdansk carried a maximal attack payload. In addition, she sports eight (8) torpedo bays for Class 11 mid-range launch torpedos. Her conning tower is armed with two waterproofed 7.62mm machine guns.

Subsequent to launch, Gdansk has primarily done a Western Pacific patrol, spending a majority of its time in the South China Sea and the Sea of Japan. We have tracked Gdansk



Gdansk emerging through polar ice

under the Polar ice cap and on multiple occasions have photographed it upon penetration of the pack ice.

In the spring of 1994, Gdansk ran a patrol which extended off the southern coast of Papua New Guinea. NIC intercepted radio communication with Ukraine Naval Command ("UNC") requesting authorization to pursue the source of geblechnyev or "aberrant" radio transmissions emanating from a depopulated region of the central South Pacific. The request was granted and Gdansk moved further east into the South Pacific.

At 18:54:33, all communication and tracking of Gdansk ceased. Collectively, all reporting mechanisms reported a simultaneous loss of data, indicating a loss of phenomenon to be tracked rather than a simultaneous failure of multiple intelligence gathering mechanisms. At the time of loss of contact, Gdansk was headed on a due East bearing from 38°S, 168°W at 13.8 knots.

The hypothesis of catastrophic mechanical failure can be supported by the following transcript, transcribed from decoded radio transmissions sent shortly before Gdansk vanished from radar.

Gdansk: authorization to proceed acknowledged... strange sonographic bottom images. It is moving.

UNC: Identify parameters of moving vessel.

Gdansk: The ocean, the sea floor moves. A city?

UNC: Repeat, identify sonar tracked vessel.

Gdansk: You could never... Its bigger than can be possible...most holy god we bow to the and pray forgiveness.

UNC: Gdansk, identify sonar imaging. Repeat.

Gdansk: We are the damned.

Our radio monitoring frequencies continued to track transmissions from UNC, however, no more communications from Gdansk were received on that frequency. It is possible that this represented a complex ploy prior to a shift of communication channels or methods. Still, we are struck by the unusual tenor of the communication. The phrase, "the sea floor moves" carries the implication of topical coding within the encrypted communique.

OPERATIONS

On July 9, 1994 UNC reported that they had lost communication with a ship. As a cooperative measure and in an attempt to gather additional intelligence, the United States and New Zealand offered search vessels which combed the area for any tell-tale evidence of the wreckage of Gdansk. None was found. This fact is troubling, insofar as in 94%+ situations we are able to locate and identify maritime wreckages within 48 hours of occurrence.

US Salvage Ship Arlene, with sophisticated sonar on board designed for these purposes was unable to locate either any evidence of

Gdansk or any usual sonar images fitting the translated text of the radio operator. Arlene did located a small ridge which contained thermal vents (ruptures in ocean floor tectonic plates which release considerable heat onto the ocean floor). After coordinating efforts with the Ukranians for two weeks, the salvage operation was scrapped.



Gdansk, best close-up

Hypotheses

In all probability, Gdansk sunk due to a mechanical failure. However, because of the high-risk nature of the weapons she carried as well as other potential causes of the disappearance, NIC gives this case high ranking.

USN Corps of Engineers, when presented with the most core facts, promulgated the following technical failure. If the joint valve at the junction of the thermal core inter-coolers and the transverse hysteoblogenators rod assembly were to heat rupture, the thermal vent corridor of the engine room could become blasted with superheated dense matter. A simultaneous failure of the inter-coolers could generate a thermal chain reaction, causing the engine room to flood with superheated highly dense radioactive matter. In this situation, the electro-moton assembly and its fuel source could rupture, causing a devastating and potentially ship-sinking explosion. While the statistical odds offered by the Corps of Engineers ranked

the probability in the range of 1:620,000, it must be acknowledged that it COULD have happened.

Other theories as put forth in the executive summary warrant additional investigation, although at present, these defensive and offensive technologies are not known to exist.

Ukraine has been greatly embarrassed by this event and they are eager to remove any blemishes upon their Navy's reputation. We have reliable intelligence which leads us to believe that Ukraine has sanctioned an operative to sabotage any additional inquiries into this matter. An informant yielded the name "Igor Gendelman" as the Ukrainian agent's name. While the confirmation of operative Igor Gendelman can neither be confirmed or denied, it must be assumed for operational purposes that hostile and obstructionist foreign nationals are at work.